UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,632	07/01/2003	Michael Stochosky	2095.004100	3652
	7590 09/11/200 IORGAN & AMERSO	EXAMINER		
10333 RICHMOND AVE. SUITE 1100 HOUSTON, TX 77042			DENNISON, JERRY B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2443	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/11/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/612,632	STOCHOSKY, MICHAEL		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	J Diet Dellilison	2443	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>31 August 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since a
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a •	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ootoa olaliino.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		empliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		(, .
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	·····,	y	J
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-44</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	It does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/J Bret Dennison/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 2443	
	ary Examinor, Are		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Regarding the attempt to correct the 35 USC 101 issue with claim 1, it appears that the now claimed "devices" may be virtual as well, as they are simply made up of a virtual peer.

Applicant argues that the prior art requires two paths, and that the claimed invention only uses a single path. In response, the Examiner maintains that the claim only requires a path to send active content, and that there is nothing in the claim that specifies that the text for chatting is also on this path. Applicant agreed in the Interview dated 4/06/2009 that the claims do not show this feature of outputting both the text for chatting and the active content on a single path. It appears that Applicant is relying on the name of the path to teach this feature, i.e. "chat communications module path." However, the claim specifies that this path is used to send just the active content. If the reference in the rejection disclosed outputting active content on a path, then a fair interpretation of this disclosed path would be equal to the claimed "chat communications module path" since it is used for the same result, which is sending the active content. Also, it is clear that the disclosed path connects two chat modules as shown in the figures of the reference. Therefore it is very reasonable to interpret the disclosed path as the claimed "chat communications module path". Therefore, the rejections are respectfully maintained..